Intermec Technologies Corp. v. Palm, Inc.

Doc. 34

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARMOND FARIS, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NETFLIX, INC., WAL-MART STORES, INC., and WALMART.COM USA LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 09-cv-0180 EDL

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED

Dockets.Justia.com

On January 20, 2009, Plaintiff in *Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-0180 EDL, filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, pursuant to Local Rule 3-12. The Court, having considered the papers and pleadings on file, and good cause appearing, HEREBY GRANTS the motion.

IT IS ORDERED that *Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-0180 EDL, is hereby related to *Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC*, Case No. 09-cv-0002 PJH.

Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al. shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge pursuant to local Rule 3-12(f).

Dated:___________________

By: ___________________________________
The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
United States District Judge